

Example 69: Opinion Polling

Suppose that 40% of the population support a certain political candidate.

Given a random sample of 150 individuals, find

- 1.) the expected value and variance of the number of sampled individuals that favour the candidate.
- 2.) the probability that more than half the sample favours the candidate.

Example 69: Opinion Polling

Suppose that 40% of the population support a certain political candidate.

Given a random sample of 150 individuals, find

- 1.) the expected value and variance of the number of sampled individuals that favour the candidate.
- 2.) the probability that more than half the sample favours the candidate.

Let X_i be the answer of the i -th person, "yes" meaning 1, and "no" meaning 0.

$\Rightarrow X_i \sim \text{Bernoulli}(p)$ with $p = 0.4$

Let $Y := \sum_{i=1}^n X_i \Rightarrow Y \sim \text{Binom}(n, p)$, with $n = 150$

$$\Rightarrow E[\bar{y}] = n \cdot p = 150 \times 0.4 = 60$$

$$\text{Var}(\bar{y}) = n \cdot p \cdot (1-p) = 150 \times 0.4 \times 0.6 = 36$$

Check the rule of thumb:

$$n \cdot p = 60 > 5, \quad n \cdot (1-p) = 90 > 5$$

\Rightarrow Approximate \bar{y} by $N(60, 36)$.

We want

$$P[\bar{y} > 75]$$

How can we compute this?

1) Use the Binomial:

Let ϕ be the cdf of $\text{Binom}(150, 0.4)$.

R delivers

$$\begin{aligned} P\{Y > 75\} &= 1 - P\{Y \leq 75\} = 1 - \phi(75) \\ &= 0.005225 \end{aligned}$$

2) Approximate Y by a $Y' \sim N(60, 36)$

$$\begin{aligned} P\{Y > 75\} &\approx P\{Y' > 75.5\}^* = 1 - \Phi_{60, 36}(75.5) \\ &= 0.004892 \quad (\text{with } R) \end{aligned}$$

* continuity correction

$$1 - \Phi\left(\frac{75.5 - 60}{6}\right)$$

3) Approximation and Lookup in Z-Table

Transform y' to $Z \sim N(0,1)$:

$$P[y' > 75.5] = P\left[\frac{y' - 60}{6} > \frac{75.5 - 60}{6}\right]$$

$$\approx P\left[Z > \frac{75.5 - 60}{6}\right] = P\left[Z > \frac{15.5}{6}\right]$$

$$= P[Z > 2.583] = 1 - \Phi(2.583)$$

$$\approx 1 - 0.9951 = 0.0049$$

How Many Measurements are Needed?

We can use the CLT to determine the number of measurements needed for a required accuracy if we know the variance of the distribution of measurements.

Example 66: We want to measure the distance to a star with

- accuracy $a = 1$ (i.e., with absolute error $\leq \frac{a}{2} = 0.5$) and
- certainty $\gamma = 95\%$.

The variance of the measurements is $\sigma^2 = 2^2$.

Let d be the exact distance and X_i be the measurements. The sample mean \bar{X}_n is close to a normal with

$$\mu_n = \mu \quad \text{and} \quad \sigma_n^2 = \frac{\sigma^2}{n}.$$

Then

$$\frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu_n}{\sigma_n} = \frac{\bar{X}_n - \mu}{\sigma/\sqrt{n}} \sim N(0,1) \text{ approximately.}$$

We want n such that

$$P\left[-\frac{a}{2} < \bar{X}_n - \mu < \frac{a}{2}\right] \leq \gamma$$

That is

$$\gamma \leq P\left[-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \frac{a}{2} < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} (\bar{X}_n - \mu) < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \frac{a}{2}\right]$$

$$\approx P\left[-\frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \frac{a}{2} < Z < \frac{\sqrt{n}}{\sigma} \frac{a}{2}\right]$$

$$= 1 - 2(1 - \Phi(\sqrt{n} \frac{a}{2\sigma})) = 2 \cdot \Phi(\sqrt{n} \frac{a}{2\sigma}) - 1,$$

hence

$$\Phi\left(\sqrt{n} \frac{a}{2\sigma}\right) \geq \frac{1+\gamma}{2}$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{n} \frac{a}{2\sigma} \geq \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right)$$

$$\Leftrightarrow \sqrt{n} \geq \frac{2\sigma}{a} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right)$$

This is an example where we need the inverse of the cdf to reason backward from a probability to an argument.

We need an n such that

$$\sqrt{n} \geq \frac{2\sigma}{a} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1+\gamma}{2}\right)$$

with

$$a = 1, \sigma = 2, \gamma = 0.95.$$

This yields

$$\sqrt{n} \geq \frac{2 \cdot 2}{1} \Phi^{-1}\left(\frac{1+0.95}{2}\right) = 4 \cdot \Phi^{-1}(0.975)$$

$$= 4 \times 1.960 \quad (\text{in } Z\text{-table})$$

$$= 4 \times 1.959964 \quad (\text{with } R)$$

$$\text{Hence } n \geq (4 \times 1.960)^2 = 61.4656$$

is a sufficiently large number of measurements

4.2 Sample Variance

If we make measurements of some quantity, we consider this as evaluating a RV X . If we make several measurements, then we consider them as evaluations of n RVs X_1, \dots, X_n that are i.i.d., having the same distribution as X .

How can we estimate the mean value of the distribution of X , i.e., $E[X]$?

The average \bar{X}_n of the X_i , $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$, should be a good estimate.

How can we check that this is conceptually the right thing to do?

Unbiased Estimators

Suppose $X_1, X_2, \dots, X_n, \dots$ are i.i.d. RVs.

A function $F(x_1, \dots, x_n)$, if applied to X_1, \dots, X_n , defines a new random variable $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$.

An example is \bar{X}_n , which is defined by

$$F(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} (x_1 + \dots + x_n) = \bar{x}_n.$$

Definition: Let X_1, \dots, X_n be i.i.d. RVs, $F: \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ a function and θ be a parameter (like mean, variance, or skew) of the distribution of the X_i .

Then the bias of F with respect to θ for X_1, \dots, X_n is

$$E(F(X_1, \dots, X_n)) - \theta,$$

and $F(X_1, \dots, X_n)$ is an unbiased estimator if the bias is 0.

Examples: (1) The **average** $\bar{X}_n = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n X_i$ is an unbiased estimator of the **mean** μ .

(2) The **average squared distance** from the mean

$$\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2$$

is an unbiased estimator of the **variance**. (Note that we used μ , not \bar{X}_n .)

Proof: (1) If we have calculated several times that

$$E[\bar{X}_n] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E[X_i] = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \mu = \mu$$

(2) Remember that $\text{Var}(X) = E[(X - \mu)^2]$. Thus

$$E\left(\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (X_i - \mu)^2\right) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n E(X_i - \mu)^2 = \frac{1}{n} \cdot n \cdot \sigma^2 = \sigma^2$$

Estimating the Variance

Consider the function

$$T^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 \quad *)$$

$$\text{with } \bar{x} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n x_i.$$

Then one can calculate (see lecture notes of 19/20) that

$$E[T^2(x_1, \dots, x_n)] = \frac{n-1}{n} \text{Var}(X).$$

Thus, this is an estimator with bias! But

$$\frac{n}{n-1} T^2(x_1, \dots, x_n) = \frac{1}{n-1} \sum_{i=1}^n (x_i - \bar{x})^2 =: S^2$$

is unbiased! This is also called the sample variance.

*) " T^2 " is an abuse of notation, motivated by the attempt to estimate the variance